

EVALUATION CRITERIA

The proposals will be evaluated on all the following criteria:

Critical Evaluation Criteria

Failure in fulfilling this criterion/these criteria will be disqualified from further evaluation.

- 1) Not debarred by the Ministry of Finance on or after the closing date;
- 2) Certification with Expenditure and Procurement Policies Unit ("EPPU") under Supply Head EPU/LAB/30 with Financial Registration Grade S6 (up to S\$3 million) and above;
- 3) Full Compliance with Part 2: Conditions of Contract; and
- 4) Full Compliance with Part 3: Requirement Specifications

Evaluation Criteria

In addition to the above critical criteria, Tenderers will be evaluated based on the following for respective equipment.

For Equipment 1, 2, 3, 6 and 7

- a) Rates and prices proposed in the tender

For Equipment 4:

- a) Price – 70%
- b) Quality (based on sample testing results) – 30%

Evaluation Criteria	Weight Assigned	Description
(a) Price	70%	$\frac{\text{Lowest Tender Price}}{\text{Tenderer's Price}} \times \text{Price Weightage (70\%)}$
Evaluation Criteria	Weight Assigned	Description
(b) Non-price (Quality)	30%	The resolution between 2 nearest peaks (R_s) of the analytes derived from sample testing will be evaluated. The resolution will be computed using the following formula. $R_s = \frac{d \times 1.18}{(w_{0.5h,1} + w_{0.5h,2})}$ $w_{0.5h,1}, w_{0.5h,2}$ = Full width at half maximum (FWHM) d = difference of retention time $t_2 - t_1$, $t_1 < t_2$

For Equipment 5:

Evaluation Criteria	Weight Assigned	Description
(a) Price	70%	$\frac{\text{Lowest Tender Price}}{\text{Tenderer's Price}} \times \text{Price Weightage (70\%)}$

Evaluation Criteria	Weight Assigned	Description
(b) Non-Price	30%	a. Number of OS platforms required to perform the data acquisitions and data analysis operations - 10% b. Number of steps required for adding spectra into the library after data acquisition - 10% c. Number of steps required for quantitation workflow using past calibration data - 20% d. Ability to auto-print the reports (hardcopy/ softcopy) after post-run data for routine workflow - 5% e. Number of toxicology-related online public databases can be accessed - 5% f. Number of toxicology related drugs & poisons available in spectral library for Singapore- 10%; g. Track Records- number of similar units sold in Singapore for the past 3 years- 10% $\frac{\text{Tender's score}}{\text{Highest Tenderer's score}} \times \text{non-price weightage (30\%)}$