

EVALUATION CRITERIA

- (1) Tenderers shall satisfy the following CRITICAL evaluation criteria before their tender proposals will be considered for evaluation:
- (a) Registration with MOF in **EPU/LAB/30 (Laboratory Equipment and Accessories)** registration head of financial grade **S6** and above
 - (b) Debarment status (not debarred from participating in Government tenders and restricted by PUB from participating in its tenders)
 - (c) Compliance with the Form of Tender, Instructions to Tenderers and Conditions of Contract.
 - (d) Submission of the following documents:
 - (i) Form of Tender duly completed
 - (ii) Schedule of Prices duly priced
- (2) Compliance with Specifications. In the case of alternative offer, the tenderer must comply with the provisions on “Alternative Offer” as specified in Clause 33 of the Instructions to Tenderers.
- (3) Tenderers who have complied with the evaluation criteria in (1) & (2) above will be evaluated and assessed using the following Composite Scoring Method (CSM). In the CSM, price and quality criteria are assigned weightages and translated into quantitative scores during tender evaluation.
- (a) Price-Quality Weighting: 80/20
 - (b) Quality Attributes (20 points)

No.	Quality (Non-Price) Attributes	Quality Score
1.	Track Records of relevant projects	10 points (Q1)
2.	Performance in the current and past projects	10 points (Q2)

(i) Weighted Quality Score $Q_{(wt)} = \frac{\text{Tenderer's } (Q1+Q2)}{\text{Highest } (Q1+Q2)} \times 20\%$

- (c) Price Attributes

(i) Weighted Price Score $P_{(wt)} = \frac{\text{Lowest Tender Price } \#}{\text{Tenderer's Price}} \times 80\%$

- (d) Combined Score for Tenderer

Total Score = $P_{(wt)} + Q_{(wt)}$

Refers to the lowest tender price complying with evaluation criteria in (1) & (2).